

Spectral Gamma-Ray Borehole Log Data Report

Page 1 of 2

Borehole

22-08-06

Log Event A

Borehole Information

Farm : \underline{BY} Tank : $\underline{BY-108}$ Site Number : $\underline{299-\underline{E33-207}}$

N-Coord : 45,954 **W-Coord** : 53,449 **TOC** Elevation : 648.84

Water Level, ft : Date Drilled : $\frac{1/31/1973}{}$

Casing Record

Type: Steel-welded Thickness: 0.280 ID, in.: 6

Top Depth, ft. : $\underline{0}$ Bottom Depth, ft. : $\underline{100}$

Borehole Notes:

According to the driller's records, this borehole was not perforated or grouted.

Equipment Information

Logging System : 2 Detector Type : HPGe Detector Efficiency: 35.0 %

Calibration Date : 03/1995 Calibration Reference : GJPO-HAN-1 Logging Procedure : P-GJPO-1783

Log Run Information

 Log Run Number :
 1
 Log Run Date :
 8/28/1995
 Logging Engineer:
 Alan Pearson

Start Depth, ft.: $\underline{0.0}$ Counting Time, sec.: $\underline{100}$ L/R: \underline{L} Shield: \underline{N} Finish Depth, ft.: $\underline{19.0}$ MSA Interval, ft.: $\underline{0.5}$ Log Speed, ft/min.: $\underline{n/a}$

Log Run Number :2Log Run Date :8/29/1995Logging Engineer:Alan Pearson

Start Depth, ft.: $\underline{99.0}$ Counting Time, sec.: $\underline{100}$ L/R: \underline{L} Shield: \underline{N} Finish Depth, ft.: $\underline{18.5}$ MSA Interval, ft.: $\underline{0.5}$ Log Speed, ft/min.: \underline{n}/a



Spectral Gamma-Ray Borehole Log Data Report

Page 2 of 2

Borehole

22-08-06

Log Event A

Analysis Information

Analyst: P.D. Henwood

Data Processing Reference : P-GJPO-1787 Analysis Date : 2/28/1996

Analysis Notes:

This borehole was logged in two log runs. The pre- and post-survey field verification spectra showed consistent activities, indicating the logging system operated properly during data collection. Energy calibrations differed because of gain drift in the instrumentation. Gain drifts during data collection necessitated energy versus channel number recalibrations during processing of the data to maintain proper peak identification. A depth overlap, where data were collected on separate days at the same depth, occurred in this borehole at 19 ft. The calculated concentrations were within the statistical uncertainty of the measurements, indicating very good repeatability.

The casing thickness is 5/16 (0.3125) inch. Casing-correction factors for a 0.33-in.-thick steel casing were applied during analysis, which may result in an almost negligible over-estimation of the radionuclide concentration.

Cs-137 and Co-60 were the man-made radionuclides identified in this borehole. The presence of Cs-137 was measured almost continuously from the ground surface to about 40 ft, and intermittently throughout the remainder of the borehole. Co-60 was measured at intemittent locations in the borehole, and almost continuously from 63 to 92 ft. Sr-90 may exist at about 23 ft in depth.

Additional information and interpretations of log data are included in the main body of the Tank Summary Data Report for tank BY-108.

Log Plot Notes:

Separate log plots show the man-made (e.g., Cs-137) and the naturally occurring radionuclides (K-40, U-238, and Th-232). The natural radionuclides can be used for lithology interpretations. The headings of the plots identify the specific gamma rays used to calculate the concentrations.

A combination plot includes both the man-made and natural radionuclides, in addition to the total gamma derived from the spectral data and the Tank Farms gross gamma log. The gross gamma plot displays the earliest and latest available digital data. No attempt has been made to adjust the depths of the gross gamma logs to coincide with each other or the SGLS data. The purpose of providing both logs is to show the decreasing count rates over time which are probably due to decay of Co-60.

Uncertainty bars on the plots show the statistical uncertainties for the measurements as 95-percent confidence intervals. Open circles on the plots give the minimum detection level (MDL). The MDL of a radionuclide represents the lowest concentration at which positive identification of a gamma-ray peak is statistically defensible.